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Refresher: what is important for GPUs?

- You need **a lot of parallel tasks** (i.e. loop iterations) to keep GPU busy
  - Each parallel task maps to a thread in a threadblock
  - You need a lot of threadblocks per streaming multiprocessor (SM) to hide memory latency
  - Not just 2688 parallel tasks, but \(10^4\) to \(10^6\) or more
  - This is most-likely in a loop-based code, treating iterations as tasks
    - OpenACC is particularly targeted at loop-based codes

- Your inner loop must **vectorise** (at least with vector length of 32)
  - So we can use all 32 threads in a warp with shared instruction stream
  - Branches in inner loop are allowed, but not too many

- Memory should be accessed in the correct order
  - Global memory access is done with (sequential) vector loads
  - For good performance, want as few of these as possible
  - so all the threads in warp should collectively load a contiguous block of memory at the same point in the instruction stream
  - This is known as "**coalesced memory access**"
  - So vectorised loop index should be fastest-moving index of each array
What does this mean for the programmer?

- No internal mechanism for synchronising between threadblocks
  - Synchronisation must be handled by host
    - So reduction operations are more complicated
    - even though all threadblocks share same global memory
  - Fortunately launching kernels is cheap
    - GPU threadteams are "lightweight"

- Data transfers between CPU and GPU are very expensive
  - You need to concentrate on "data locality" and avoid "data sloshing"
  - Keeping data in the right place for as long as it is needed is crucial
  - You should port as much of the application as possible
    - This probably means porting more than you expected
Why do we need a new GPU programming model?

Aren’t there enough ways to drive a GPU already?
- CUDA (incl. NVIDIA CUDA-C & PGI CUDA-Fortran)
- OpenCL

All are quite low-level and closely coupled to the GPU
- User needs to rewrite kernels in specialist language:
  - Hard to write and debug
  - Hard to optimise for specific GPU
  - Hard to port to new accelerator
- Multiple versions of kernels in codebase
  - Hard to add new functionality
Directive-based programming

Directives provide a high-level alternative

+ Based on original source code (Fortran, C, C++)
  + Easier to maintain/port/extend code
  + Users with OpenMP experience find it a familiar programming model
  + Compiler handles repetitive coding (cudaMalloc, cudaMemcpy...)
  + Compiler handles default scheduling; user tunes only where needed

– Possible performance sacrifice
  – Important to quantify this
  – Can then tune the compiler
  – Small performance sacrifice is acceptable
    – trading-off portability and productivity against this
    – after all, who hand-codes in assembler for CPUs these days?
A common directive programming model for today's GPUs

- Announced at SC11 conference
- Offers portability between compilers
  - Drawn up by: NVIDIA, Cray, PGI, CAPS
  - Multiple compilers offer:
    - portability, debugging, permanence
- Works for Fortran, C, C++
  - Standard available at openacc.org
  - Initially implementations targeted at NVIDIA GPUs

Compiler support: all now complete

- Cray CCE: complete OpenACC 2.0 in v8.2
- PGI Accelerator: version 12.6 onwards
- CAPS: Full support in v1.3
- gcc: work started in late 2013, aiming for 4.9
- Various other compilers in development
Strategic risk factors of OpenACC

● Will there be machines to run my OpenACC code on?
  ● Now? Lots of Nvidia GPU accelerated systems
    ● Cray XC30s and XK7s, plus other vendors (OpenACC is multi-vendor)
  ● Future? OpenACC can be targeted at other accelerators
    ● PGI and CAPS already target Intel Xeon Phi, AMD GPUs
    ● Plus you can always run on CPUs using same codebase

● Will OpenACC continue?
  ● Support? Cray, PGI, CAPS committed to support. Now gcc as well.
    ● Lots of big customer pressure to continue to run OpenACC
  ● Develop? OpenACC committee now 18 partners
    ● v2.0 finalised in 2013, now working on next version (2.1 or 3.0)

● Will OpenACC be superseded by something else?
  ● Auto-accelerating compilers? Yes, please! But never managed before
    ● Data locality adds to the challenge
  ● OpenMP accelerator directives? Immature at the moment
    ● OpenACC work not wasted: thinking takes more time than coding
    ● Very similar programming model; can transition when these release if wish
    ● Cray (co-chair), PGI very active in OpenMP accelerator subcommittee
OpenACC suitability

Will my code accelerate well with OpenACC?

- Computation should be based around loopnests processing arrays
  - Loopnests should have defined tripcounts (either at compile- or run-time)
    - while loops will not be easy to port with OpenACC
    - because they are hard to execute on a GPU
  - Data structures should be simple arrays
    - derived types, pointer arrays, linked lists etc. may stretch compiler capabilities

- The loopnests should have a large total number of iterations
  - at least measured in the thousands
    - even more is better; less will execute, but with very poor efficiency

- The loops should span as much code as possible
  - maybe with some loops very high up the callchain

- The loopnest kernels should not be too branched
  - one or two nested IF-statements is fine
  - too many will lead to slow execution on many accelerators

- The code can be task-based
  - but each task should contain a suitable loopnest
So...

- **GPUs can give very good performance**
  - but you need to be aware of the underlying architecture
  - porting a real application to GPU(s) requires some hard work
    - Amdahl says you need to port a lot of the profile to see a speed-up
      - bad news: to see 10x speedup, need to port at least 90% of the application profile
      - good news: if profile very peaked, 90% of time may be spent in, say, 40% of code
    - even before you worry about the costs of data transfers

- **A good programming model and environment**
  - helps bridges the gap between peak and achievable performance
Accelerator directives

- Modify original source code with directives
  - Non-executable statements (comments, pragmas)
    - Can be ignored by non-accelerating compiler
    - CCE -hnoacc also suppresses compilation
  - Sentinel: acc
    - C/C++: preceded by #pragma
      - Structured block {...} avoids need for end directives
    - Fortran: preceded by !$ (or c$ for FORTRAN77)
      - Usually paired with !$acc end * directive
      - Directives can be capitalized
  - Continuation to extra lines allowed
    - C/C++: \ (at end of line to be continued)
    - Fortran:
      - Fixed form: c$acc& or !$acc& on continuation line
      - Free form: & at end of line to be continued
        - continuation lines can start with either !$acc or !$acc&
Conditional compilation

- **In theory, OpenACC code should be identical to CPU**
  - only difference are the directives (i.e. comments)

- **In practise, you may need slightly different code**
  - For example, to cope with:
    - calls to OpenACC runtime API functions
    - where you need to recode for OpenACC
      - such as for performance reasons
      - you should try to minimise this
        - usually better OpenACC code is better CPU code

- **CPP macro defined to allow conditional compilation**
  - `_OPENACC == yyyyymm`
    - Version 1.0: 201111
    - Version 2.0: 201306
A first example

Execute a loop nest on the GPU

- **Compiler does the work:**
  - Data movement
    - allocates/frees GPU memory at start/end of region
    - moves of data to/from GPU
  - Loop schedule: spreading loop iterations over threads of GPU
    - **OpenACC** will "partition" (workshare) more than one loop in a loopnest
    - compare: **OpenMP** only partitions the outer loop
  - Caching (e.g. explicit use GPU shared memory for reused data)
    - automatic caching can be important
  - Tune default behavior with optional clauses on directives

```fortran
!$acc parallel loop
DO j = 1,N
  DO i = 2,N-1
    c(i,j) = a(i,j) + b(i,j)
  ENDDO
ENDDO
!$acc end parallel loop
```

- read-only
- write-only
Accelerator kernels

● We call a loopnest that will execute on the GPU a "kernel"
  ● this language is similar to CUDA
  ● the loop iterations will be divided up and executed in parallel

● We have choice of two directives to create a kernel
  ● parallel loop or kernels loop
    ● both generate an accelerator kernel from a loopnest
    ● the language is confusing

● Why are there two and what's the difference?
  ● You can use either
    ● or both, in different parts of the code
  ● This tutorial concentrates on using the parallel loop directive
A first full OpenACC program: "Hello World"

```fortran
PROGRAM main
  INTEGER :: a(N)
  <stuff>
  !$acc parallel loop
  DO i = 1,N
    a(i) = i
  ENDDO
  !$acc end parallel loop
  !$acc parallel loop
  DO i = 1,N
    a(i) = 2*a(i)
  ENDDO
  !$acc end parallel loop
  <stuff>
END PROGRAM main
```

- Two accelerator parallel regions
- Compiler creates two kernels
  - Loop iterations automatically divided across GPU threads
- First kernel initialises array
  - Compiler will determine `a` is write-only
- Second kernel updates array
  - Compiler will determine `a` is read-write
- Breaking `parallel` region=barrier
  - No barrier directive (global or within SM)

- Note:
  - Code can still be compiled for the CPU
Data scoping

● Codes process data, using other data to do this
  ● all this data is held in structures, such as arrays or scalars

● In a serial code (or pure MPI), there are no complications

● In a thread-parallel code (OpenACC, OpenMP etc.)
  ● Things are more complicated:
    ● Some data will be the same for each thread (e.g. the main data array)
      ● The threads can (and usually should) share a single copy of this data
    ● Some data will be different (e.g. loop index values)
      ● Each thread will need it's own private copy of this data

● Data scoping arranges this. It is done:
  ● automatically (by the compiler) or explicitly (by the programmer)

● If the data scoping is incorrect, we get:
  ● incorrect (and inconsistent) answers ("race conditions"), and/or
  ● a memory footprint that is too large to run
Understanding data scoping

● Data scoping ensures the right answer
  ● We want the same answer when executing in parallel as when serially

● Declare variables in parallel region to be shared or private
  ● shared
    ● all loop iterations process the same version of the variable
    ● variable could be a scalar or an array
    ● \(a\) and \(b\) are shared arrays in this example
  
  ● private
    ● each loop iteration uses the variable separately
    ● again, variable could be a scalar or an array
    ● \(t\) is a private scalar in this example
    ● loop index variables (like \(i\)) are also private

● firstprivate: a variation on private
  ● each thread’s copy set to initial value
  ● loop limits (like \(N\)) should be firstprivate

```c
for (i=0; i<N; i++) {
    t = a[i];
    t++;
    b[i] = 2*t;
}
```
Data scoping in OpenACC (and OpenMP)

- In OpenMP, we have exactly these data clauses
  - shared, private, firstprivate

- In OpenACC
  - private, firstprivate are just the same
  - shared variables are more complicated in OpenACC
    - because we also need to think about data movements to/from GPU

- We sub-classify shared variables by how they are used on the GPU:
  - copyin: a shared variable that is used read-only by the GPU
  - copyout: a shared variable that is used write-only
  - copy: a shared variable that is used read-write
  - create: a shared variable that is a temporary scratch space
    (although there is still an unused copy on the host in this case)
Data scoping with OpenACC

- **parallel regions:**
  - scalars and loop index variables are **private** by default
  - arrays are shared by default
    - the compiler chooses which shared-type: **copyin**, **copyout**, etc.
  - explicit data clauses over-ride automatic scoping decisions

- You can also add the **default(none)** clause
  - then you have to do everything explicitly (or you get a compiler error)
A more-explicit first version

```fortran
PROGRAM main
  INTEGER :: a(N)
  <stuff>
  !$acc parallel loop copyout(a)
  DO i = 1,N
    a(i) = i
  ENDDO
  !$acc end parallel loop
  !$acc parallel loop copy(a)
  DO i = 1,N
    a(i) = 2*a(i)
  ENDDO
  !$acc end parallel loop
  <stuff>
END PROGRAM main
```

- We could choose to make the data movements explicit
  - maybe because we want to
    - maybe also use `default(none)` clause
  - or maybe compiler is overcautious

- Note:
  - Array `a` is needlessly moved from/to GPU between kernels
    - You could call this "data sloshing"
    - This will have a big impact on performance
OpenACC data regions

- **Data regions allow data to remain on the accelerator**
  - e.g. for processing by multiple accelerator kernels
  - specified arrays only move at start/end of data region

- **Data regions only label a region of code**
  - they do not define or start any sort of parallel execution
  - just specify GPU memory allocation and data transfers
  - can contain host code, nested data regions and/or device kernels

- **Be careful:**
  - Inside data region we have two copies of each of the specified arrays
  - These only synchronise at the start/end of the data region
    - and only following the directions of the explicit data clauses
  - Otherwise, you have two separate arrays in two separate memory spaces
Defining OpenACC data regions

- **Two ways to define data regions:**
  - **Structured data regions:**
    - Fortran: `!$acc data [data-clauses] ... !$acc end data`
    - C/C++: `#pragma acc data [data-clauses] {...}`
  - **Unstructured data regions (new in OpenACC v2):**
    - Fortran: `!$acc enter data [data-clauses] ... !$acc exit data [data-clauses]`
    - C/C++: `#pragma enter data [data-clauses] ... #pragma exit data [data-clauses]`

- For most "procedural code", use structured data regions

- **Unstructured data regions**
  - Useful for more "Object Oriented" coding styles, e.g.
    - Separate constructor/destructor methods in C++
    - Separate subroutines for `malloc` (or allocate) and `free` (or deallocate)

- **A data region with no data clauses is "like a broken pencil"**
  - pointless (that is, redundant)
A second version

```fortran
PROGRAM main
  INTEGER :: a(N)
  <stuff>
!$acc data copyout(a)
!$acc parallel loop
  DO i = 1,N
    a(i) = i
  ENDDO
!$acc end parallel loop
!$acc parallel loop
  DO i = 1,N
    a(i) = 2*a(i)
  ENDDO
!$acc end parallel loop
!$acc end data
  <stuff>
END PROGRAM main
```

- Now added a data region
  - Specified arrays only moved at boundaries of data region
- Unspecified arrays moved by each kernel
- No compiler-determined movements for data regions
- Data region can contain host code and accelerator regions
- Copies of arrays independent

- No automatic synchronisation within data region
  - User-directed synchronisation possible with update directive
Data scoping with OpenACC (2)

- **parallel regions:**
  - scalars and loop index variables are **private** by default
  - arrays are shared by default
    - the compiler chooses which shared-type: **copyin**, **copyout**, etc.
  - explicit data clauses over-ride automatic scoping decisions
    - You can also add the **default(none)** clause
    - then you have to do everything explicitly (or you get a compiler error)

- **data regions:**
  - only shared-type scoping clauses are allowed
  - there is **NO** default/automatic scoping
  - un-scoped variables on data regions
    - will be scoped at each of the enclosed **parallel** regions
      - automatically, unless the programmer does this explicitly
    - this probably leads to unwanted data-sloshing or large arrays
  - Using data region scoping in enclosed **parallel** regions:
    - same routine: omit scoping clauses on enclosed **parallel** directives
    - different routine: use **present** clause on enclosed **parallel** directives
Sharing GPU data between subprograms

- **present** clause uses GPU version of `b` without data copy
  - Original calltree structure of program can be preserved

- One kernel is now in subroutine (maybe in separate file)
  - OpenACC 1.0: function calls inside parallel regions required inlining
  - OpenACC 2.0: compilers support nested parallelism

```
PROGRAM main
  INTEGER :: a(N)
  <stuff>
!$acc data copyout(a)
!$acc parallel loop
  DO i = 1,N
    a(i) = i
  ENDDO
!$acc end parallel loop
  CALL double_array(a)
!$acc end data
  <stuff>
END PROGRAM main

SUBROUTINE double_array(b)
  INTEGER :: b(N)
!$acc parallel loop present(b)
  DO i = 1,N
    b(i) = double_scalar(b(i))
  ENDDO
!$acc end parallel loop
END SUBROUTINE double_array

INTEGER FUNCTION double_scalar(c)
  INTEGER :: c
  double_scalar = 2*c
END FUNCTION double_scalar
```
Reduction variables

- Reduction variables are a special case of private variables
  - where we will need to combine values across loop iterations
  - e.g. sum, max, min, logical-and etc. acting on a shared array

- We need to tell the compiler to treat this appropriately
  - Use the reduction clause for this (added to parallel loop directive)
    - same expression in OpenACC as in OpenMP
  - Examples:
    - sum: use clause reduction( +:t )
      - Note sum could involve adding and/or subtracting
    - max: use clause reduction( max:u )

- Note: OpenACC only allows reductions of scalars
  - not of array elements
  - advice:
    - try rewriting to use a temporary scalar in the loopnest for the reduction

```plaintext
DO   i = 1,N
    t = t + a(i) - b(i)
    u = MAX(u,a(i))
ENDDO
```
Data scoping gotchas: OpenACC vs. OpenMP

- **In OpenACC parallel regions:**
  - scalars *and* loop index variables are **private** by default

- **Compare this to OpenMP parallel regions:**
  - loop index variables are **private** by default, but scalars are **shared**

- **Be careful of this, especially:**
  - if you program (separately) using the two programming models
  - if you are translating an OpenMP code to OpenACC
Directives in summary

- **Compute regions**
  - created using `parallel` loop or kernels loop directives

- **Data regions**
  - created using `data` or `enter/exit data` directives

- **Data clauses are applied to:**
  - accelerated loopnests: `parallel` and `kernels` directives
    - here they over-ride relevant parts of the automatic compiler analysis
    - you can switch off all automatic scoping with `default(none)` clause (in v2)
  - data regions: `data` directive (plus `enter/exit data` in OpenACC v2)
    - Note there is no automatic scoping in data regions (arrays or scalars)

- Shared clauses (`copy, copyin, copyout, create`)
  - supply list of scalars, arrays (or array sections)

- Private clauses (`private, firstprivate, reduction`)
  - only apply to accelerated loopnests (`parallel` and `kernels` directives)

- `present` clause (used for nested data/compute regions)
And take a breath...

● You now know everything you need to start accelerating
  ● You can successfully port a lot of codes just knowing this much
  ● The performance at this stage isn't bad, either
    ● you can often beat the CPU version of the code running across all the cores

● So what is the rest of OpenACC for?
  ● Some codes require more functionality to port
  ● OpenACC also has a lot of performance tuning options

● The emphasis in this introduction has been on
  ● explaining data scoping and using data regions

● Why?
  ● because optimising data movements is far more important than tuning
    ● minimising data transfers typically speeds up GPU execution by 10x-100x
    ● performance tuning maybe gains you 2x-3x
      ● and you can't start to get this until you first stop data-sloshing
#pragma acc exit data

Do you have any questions?